UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United 'States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,127	09/12/2003	Joseph A. Lang	3191E-000001/COF 9072	
27572 7590 01/05/2007 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 828			WILLIAMS, THOMAS J	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 3683	•
		•		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/05/2007	PAPER ·	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/662,127	LANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .				
	Thomas J. Williams	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 De	ecember 2006.					
<i>'</i> =	-					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20,21,25-33 and 37-41</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20,21,25-33 and 37-40</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>41</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	*				

Application/Control Number: 10/662,127

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

1. Acknowledgement is made in the receipt of the amendment filed December 18, 2006.

The amendment has been approved for entry. However, it appears the examiner has not properly addressed the issues of claim 41, as such the final rejection is hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claim 41 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4,310,064 to Kazarian, Jr.

Kazarian, Jr. teach a car comprising: a frame supported by a plurality of wheels; an accelerator pedal 16; a brake pedal 24 includes a unitary foot actuated portion; a brake system receiving input from said brake pedal and generating an output to control a braking device through an operating stroke; and a brake pedal locking mechanism 12 operatively cooperating

Application/Control Number: 10/662,127

Art Unit: 3683

with said brake pedal to provide a single locked position (see figure 5) beyond an end of the operating stroke, the locking mechanism providing only a single distinctive clicking sound caused by a sharp metal-to-metal contact in the locking mechanism (caused by the impact of latch 56 with stop tab 74, each is made from a metal as indicated in the figures, see cross hatching, the return spring 64 will cause latch 56 to rotate or swing towards tab 74, upon which the metal to metal noise would be created), this would inform an operator that said brake pedal has been depressed into a position to be latched in said single locked position, wherein the distinctive clicking sound is caused by one metal component (interpreted as latch 56) of the locking mechanism swinging through an arc to cause the sharp contact with a second metal component 74 of the locking mechanism.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 20, 21, 25-33 and 37-40 are allowed.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record fails to anticipate or render obvious the brake pedal subsequently depressed beyond the locked position to unlatch the locking mechanism.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-7128. The examiner can normally be reached on Wednesday-Friday from 6:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James McClellan, can be reached at 571-272-6786. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3683

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-6584.

TJW

January 4, 2007

THOMAS J. WILLIAMS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Thomas Williams

Au 3683

1-4-07.